

### 2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

Please complete this form for each priority you identified in the 2008-2009 progress report (review these at <http://www.palomar.edu/irp/IPRPSupplementalReport.htm>). Please list at the end of this form the faculty and staff who participate in this report. Forward (1) a hard copy to Instructional Services and (2) email a Microsoft Word copy to [idecker@palomar.edu](mailto:idecker@palomar.edu) no later than 3/05/2010.

<b>Department Economics, History, Political Science</b>	<b>Department Priority # 2</b>	<input type="checkbox"/> <b>No funding/resources are being requested</b>
<b>Program/Discipline:</b>		<b>Program/Discipline Priority # FOR 2010-2011:</b>

To establish a priority, use the current Program Review and Planning (PRP) document submitted Spring 2009 (posted at <http://www.palomar.edu/irp/IPRPSupplementalReport.htm>) for this program or discipline. Identify from Box #2 in the PRP a priority for the upcoming academic year or develop a priority based on the data analysis discussed in Box #2.

<b>*2. Data Analysis (restate or summarize the data analysis from the PRP):</b> Data suggests that WSCH and FETES growth rates are sustained suggesting the need for one new full-time Economics instructor and one full-time Political Science instructor.					
<b>*3.a/b. Describe your goal (priority) based on data analysis from the PRP:</b> To enhance the student learning experience with one full-time Economics instructor and one full-time Political Science instructor to reestablish our previous level in Economics, add diversity our faculty, and to reduce dependency on adjuncts (teaching roughly 75% or sections currently).					
<b>Resources requested: Identify all the resources you are requesting to support the implementation of this priority. These resources would be additional funding needed beyond what is already provided to the discipline through the base resource allocation process.</b>	<b>Describe the resource(s) requested</b>	<b>Cite page(s) that provide rationale for this priority request</b>	<b>Estimated Amount of Funding Requested</b>	<b>New, one-time funding</b>	<b>New, on-going funding</b>
<b>*4.a. Equipment – Per unit cost is ≥\$500 (microscopes, table saw, etc.)</b>					
<b>*4.a. Technology (computers, data projectors, document readers, etc.)</b>					
<b>*4.b. Budget for 4000s - Per unit cost is ≤\$500 (supplies)</b>					
<b>*4.b. Budget for 5000s – Printing, maintenance agreements, software license, accreditation fees, etc.</b>					
<b>*4.c. Facilities</b>					
<b>*4.d. Faculty position</b>	<b>One full-time Economics instructor and one full-time Political Science instructor.</b>	<b>IRP Econ: 4d, POSC p.1, 2.</b>			
<b>*4.e. Classified staff position (contract)</b>					
<b>*4.e. Classified staff position (hourly)</b>					
			<b>TOTAL</b>		

\*Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document  
 Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10  
 Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010

2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

How will you evaluate whether or not you have met your goal/priority with the requested resources?  
 If we have increased our FTEF in Economics and Political Science and reduced our dependency on adjuncts.

What evidence will you provide to reflect the impact these resources had on student learning?  
 When new full-time faculty are hired to provide more general time and office hours for the students, increase retention rates and success rates, and assist in SLO assessments.

<p><b>*5. Strategic Plan goal or objective addressed by this priority:</b>  <a href="http://www.palomar.edu/strategicplanning/Strategic%20Plan%202009/Strategic%20Plan%202009_book%20as%20printed.pdf">http://www.palomar.edu/strategicplanning/Strategic%20Plan%202009/Strategic%20Plan%202009_book%20as%20printed.pdf</a></p> <p>Provide exemplary teaching and learning environments. Increase diversity in the Palomar College faculty. Strengthen programs and services for students to support their educational goals.</p>	<p><b>Course(s) &amp; SLO(s) addressed by this priority:</b> <a href="http://www.curricunet.com/Palomar/">http://www.curricunet.com/Palomar/</a></p> <p>SLOs for Econ 100, 101, and 102, and for Political Science 100, 101, 102, and 120 will be improved by more effective assessments based upon the mandatory involvement of full-time faculty verses the voluntary involvement of adjuncts (who have largely been willing to participate to some degree). Having more full-time instructors will allow us to more effectively gather data, analyze the data, and respond to the data to improve instruction in the necessary manner.</p>	<p><b>Program(s) and SLO(s) addressed by this priority (program is defined as a certificate, degree, or discipline):</b>  <a href="http://www.curricunet.com/Palomar/">http://www.curricunet.com/Palomar/</a></p> <p>Economics.</p>
---	---	---

**6. Reflect on the progress your discipline and/or department is making on defining, implementing, and assessing course, program, GE/Institutional level SLOs. What have been the benefits and what have been the challenges?**  
 We have identified SLOs for Econ 100, 101, and 102. We have completed SLOACs for Econ 101 in Spring and Fall 2009. We are working on problems with students and graphing and developing new activities to allow for additional practice. We have identified SLOs for POSC 100, 101, 102, and 120. We are performing our first SLOAC for POSC in the Spring 2010 and will have data collected and assessed by the end of the semester. We have had an extraordinary interaction and discussion concerning the SLOs and assessment. We have had significant sharing of materials and ideas. We have completed SLO assessment cycles for history writing for History 101, 102, 105, 106, 107, 121. We have had widespread faculty participation in creating the SLOs and conducting the assessment cycle. We have not had 100% participation and it has been a challenge to get all faculty involved. We have had an extraordinary (for this discipline) interaction and discussion concerning the SLOs and assessment. We have gained a much enhanced view of just how individual faculty deal with history writing and have had significant sharing of materials and ideas. We have gained a much better perspective of how our students perform in writing and have discovered areas of writing that we instructors need to emphasize and reinforce.

\*Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document  
 Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10  
 Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010

**2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form**

--

**Individuals completing this Program Review and Planning Supplemental document:**

<b>Name(s):</b>	<b>Signatures:</b>	<b>Date:</b>
<b>Chris Johnson</b>		
<b>Jose Esteban</b>		
<b>Michael Arguello</b>		
<b>Teresa Laughlin, Peter Bowman, Matt Estes, Jonathan Smith</b>		

\*Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document  
Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10  
Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010