2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

Please complete this form for each priority you identified in the 2008-2009 progress report (review these at http://www.palomar.edu/irp/IPRPSupplementalReport.htm). Please list at the end of this form the faculty and staff who participate in this report. Forward (1) a hard copy to Instructional Services and (2) email a Microsoft Word copy to jdecker@palomar.edu no later than 3/05/2010.

Science	liticai	Department Priority # 3		□ No funding/re	esources are b	eing requested
Program/Discipline: History			Program/Discipline Priority # FOR 2010-2011: 2			
To establish a priority, use the current Progressian for this program or discipline. Identify from I *2. Data Analysis (restate or summarize Data suggests that WSCH and FETES cont *3.a/b. Describe your goal (priority) based To enhance the student learning experient	Box #2 in the PF the data analys inued stong in H d on data analy	RP a priority for the upcoming actis from the PRP): listory classes rsis from the PRP:	mitted Spring 2009 (posted at <u>h</u> cademic year or develop a prior	ttp://www.palomar.e ity based on the dat	edu/irp/IPRPSupp a analysis discus	lementalReport.htr sed in Box #2.
Resources requested: Identify all the resources you are requesting to support the implementation of this priority. These resources would be additional funding needed beyond what is already provided to the discipline through the base resource allocation process.	Describe	e the resource(s) requested	Cite page(s) that provide rationale for this priority request	Estimated Amount of Funding Requested	New, one- time funding	New, on-going funding
*4.a. Equipment – Per unit cost is ≥\$500 (microscopes, table saw, etc.)	Replace U.S. for P-20, P-22	and World History Multi-Maps 2, EC-105	p. 3, 4a	12 multi-map sets at \$1200 each. \$14,400	X	
*4.a. Technology (computers, data projectors, document readers, etc.)	Replace Lap-	top computers every 3 years.	p. 3, 4a	600 each. We have 5 now (and wanting one more) \$3,600.		X
*4.b. Budget for 4000s - Per unit cost is ≤\$500 (supplies)						Х
*4.b. Budget for 5000s – Printing, maintenance agreements, software license, accreditation fees, etc.						х
*4.c. Facilities						
*4.d. Faculty position						
*4.e. Classified staff position (contract)						
*4.e. Classified staff position (hourly)				TOTAL		
				TOTAL \$18,000		

^{*}Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10 Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010

^{*}Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10 Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010

2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

How will you evaluate whether or not you have met your goal/priority with the requested resources? If maps and equipment are being used to benifet student learning and budgets have been increase to pre-cut levels.

What evidence will you provide to reflect the impact these resources had on student learning? Increases retention rates and success rates.

*5. Strategic Plan goal or objective addressed by this priority:

http://www.palomar.edu/strategicplanning/Strategic%20Plan%202009/Strategic%20Plan%202009_book%20as%20printed.pdf

Provide exemplary teaching and learning environments.

Course(s) & SLO(s) addressed by this priority: http://www.curricunet.com/Palomar/

SLOs for History 101/102, 105/106, and 107/108 will be improved with better maps and equipment and better supply budgets.

Program(s) and SLO(s) addressed by this priority (program is defined as a certificate, degree, or discipline):

http://www.curricunet.com/Palomar/

History does not have a certificate or specific degree.

6. Reflect on the progress your discipline and/or department is making on defining, implementing, and assessing course, program, GE/Institutional level SLOs. What have been the benefits and what have been the challenges?

We have completed SLO assessment cycles for history writing for History 101, 102, 105, 106, 107, 121. We have had widespread faculty participation in creating the SLOs and conducting the assessment cycle. We have not had 100% partipation and it has been a challenge to get all faculty involved. We have had an extraordinary (for this discipline) interaction and discussion concerning the SLOs and assessment. We have gained a much enhanced view of just how individual faculty deal with history writing and have had significant sharing of materials and ideas. We have gained a much better perspective of how our students perform in writing and have discovered areas of writing that we instructors need to emphasize and reinforce.

Individuals completing this Program Review and Planning Supplemental document:

Name(s):	Signatures:	Date:
Chris Johnson		
Matt Estes		
Michael Arguello		

^{*}Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10 Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010