2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

Please complete this form for each priority you identified in the 2008-2009 progress report (review these at <u>http://www.palomar.edu/irp/IPRPSupplementalReport.htm</u>). Please list at the end of this form the faculty and staff who participate in this report. Forward (1) a hard copy to Instructional Services and (2) email a Microsoft Word copy to <u>jdecker@palomar.edu</u> no later than 3/05/2010.

Department Economics, History, Pol Science	litical	Department Priority # 1		No funding/re	esources are b	eing requested		
Program/Discipline:		F	Program/Discipline Priori	ty # FOR 2010-20	011:			
To establish a priority, use the current Program Review and Planning (PRP) document submitted Spring 2009 (posted at http://www.palomar.edu/irp/IPRPSupplementalReport.htm) for this program or discipline. Identify from Box #2 in the PRP a priority for the upcoming academic year or develop a priority based on the data analysis discussed in Box #2. *2. Data Analysis (restate or summarize the data analysis from the PRP): Data suggests that WSCH and FETES are sustained in the face of the loss of three full time history positions. Three replacements are needed. *3.a/b. Describe your goal (priority) based on data analysis from the PRP: To enhance the student learning experience with three full-time history faculty to reestablish our previous levels and diversity and to reduce dependency on adjucts and minor equipment replacements.								
Resources requested: Identify all the resources you are requesting to support the implementation of this priority. These resources would be additional funding needed beyond what is already provided to the discipline through the base resource allocation process.	Describe	e the resource(s) requested	Cite page(s) that provide rationale for this priority request	Estimated Amount of Funding Requested	New, one- time funding	New, on-going funding		
 *4.a. Equipment – Per unit cost is ≥\$500 (microscopes, table saw, etc.) *4.a. Technology (computers, data 								
projectors, document readers, etc.)								
*4.b. Budget for 4000s - Per unit cost is ≤\$500 (supplies)								
*4.b. Budget for 5000s – Printing, maintenance agreements, software license, accreditation fees, etc.								
*4.c. Facilities *4.d. Faculty position	Three full-tim losses due to	e history positions to replace retirements.	IRP page 1, block #2.					
*4.e. Classified staff position (contract)								
*4.e. Classified staff position (hourly)				TOTAL				
				TOTAL				

How will you evaluate whether or not you have met your goal/priority with the requested resources? If we have increased our FTEF and reduced our dependency on adjuncts.

What evidence will you provide to reflect the impact these resources had on student learning? When new full-time faculty are hired to provide more general time and office hours for the students, increase retention rates and success rates, and assist in SLO assessments.

*5. Strategic Plan goal or objective addressed by this priority: http://www.palomar.edu/strategicplanning/Strate	Course(s) & SLO(s) addressed by this priority: <u>http://www.curricunet.com/Palomar/</u>	Program(s) and SLO(s) addressed by this priority (program is defined as a certificate, degree, or discipline):
gic%20Plan%202009/Strategic%20Plan%20200 9_book%20as%20printed.pdf	SLOs for History 101/102, 105/106, and 107/108 will be improved by more effective	http://www.curricunet.com/Palomar/
Provide excemplary teaching and learning environments. Increase diversity in the Palomar College faculty.	assessments based upon the manditory involvement of full-time faculty verses the voluntary involvment of adjuncts (who have largely been willing to participate to some degree). Having more full-time instructors will allow us to more effectively gather data, analyze the data, and respond to the data to improve instruction in the necessary manner.	History does not have a certificate or specific degree.

6. Reflect on the progress your discipline and/or department is making on defining, implementing, and assessing course, program, GE/Institutional level SLOs. What have been the benefits and what have been the challenges?

We have completed SLO assessment cycles for history writing for History 101, 102, 105, 106, 107, 121. We have had widespread faculty participation in creating the SLOs and conducting the assessment cycle. We have not had 100% partipation and it has been a challenge to get all faculty involved. We have had an extraordinary (for this discipline) interaction and discussion concerning the SLOs and assessment. We have gained a much enhanced view of just how individual faculty deal with history writing and have had significant sharing of materials and ideas. We have gained a much better perspective of how our students perform in writing and have discovered areas of writing that we instructors need to emphasize and reinforce. We have identified SLOs for Econ 100, 101, and 102. We have completed SLOACs for Econ 101 in Spring and Fall 2009. We are working on problems with students and graphing and developing new activities to allow for additional practice. We have identified SLOs for POSC 100, 101, 102, and 120. We are performing our first SLOAC for POSC in the Spring 2010 and will have data collected and assessed by the end of the semester. We have had an extraordinary interaction and discussion concerning the SLOs and assessment. We have had significant sharing of materials and ideas.

2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

Individuals completing this Program Review and Planning Supplemental document:

Name(s):	Signatures:	Date:
Chris Johnson		
Jose Esteban		
Michael Arguello		
Teresa Laughlin Peter Bowman Matt Estes		
Jonathan Smith		