## 2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

Please complete this form for each priority you identified in the 2008-2009 progress report (review these at <a href="http://www.palomar.edu/irp/IPRPSupplementalReport.htm">http://www.palomar.edu/irp/IPRPSupplementalReport.htm</a>). Please list at the end of this form the faculty and staff who participate in this report. Forward (1) a hard copy to Instructional Services and (2) email a Microsoft Word copy to <a href="http://www.palomar.edu">jdecker@palomar.edu</a> no later than 3/05/2010.

Department Behavioral Sciences	Department Priority # 1		☐ No funding/resources are being requested
Program/Discipline: Archaeology Program and Anthropology		Program/Discipline Priority # FOR 2010-2011: 1	

To establish a priority, use the current Program Review and Planning (PRP) document submitted Spring 2009 (posted at <u>http://www.palomar.edu/irp/IPRPSupplementalReport.htm</u>) for this program or discipline. Identify from Box #2 in the PRP a priority for the upcoming academic year or develop a priority based on the data analysis discussed in Box #2.

\*2. Data Analysis (restate or summarize the data analysis from the PRP):

The Anthropology Department has very good retention rates; the Archaeology Program continues to have an increase in the number of Certificates Awarded and the program remains strong.

\*3.a/b. Describe your goal (priority) based on data analysis from the PRP:

oth the Archaeology Lab/Program and the Biological Anthropology Lab/Program need additional equipment and supplies to provide an adequate hands-on experience for students taking lecture and lecture-lab classes in Archaeology and Biological Anthropology in order to satisfactorily implement SLOs for Biological Anthropology (ANTH 100, 100L, 101) and the Archaeology Program (ANTH 100, 100L, 101, 110, 120/205, 210/220, 215, and 225), especially with the move into a larger and better equipped Archaeology Lab -- the current one is too small to actually house some the equipment that we need). New fossil finds require that our collections be updated to reflect the current state of research in the field. It is also critical that our technology and equipment match current standards in the field so that are students are truly prepared for the job market.

Resources requested: Identify all the resources you are requesting to support the implementation of this priority. These resources would be additional funding needed beyond what is already provided to the discipline through the base resource allocation process.	Describe the resource(s) requested	Cite page(s) that provide rationale for this priority request	Estimated Amount of Funding Requested	New, one- time funding	New, on-going funding
*4.a. Equipment – Per unit cost is ≥\$500 (microscopes, table saw, etc.)	1) Ashtech Promark 3 GPS Unit \$5K; 2) Two 14V 83331 Ward's Osteometric Boards \$339; 3) Ultrasonic Cleaner, L&R P/C 311-140 \$545; 4) Drying Racks for Archaeology Lab \$806; 5) Beseler CSJ-14 Copy Stand Kit w/ Lights with DSLR Led Macro Ring Light\$625; 6) two 5-drawer file cabinets \$760		\$8,075	yes	
*4.a. Technology (computers, data projectors, document readers, etc.)	1) Laser Printer, HP Laserjet P3005		\$550	yes	
*4.b. Budget for 4000s - Per unit cost is ≤\$500 (supplies)	<ol> <li>2 disarticulated female skeletons \$1,400;</li> <li>Homo floresiensis skull \$300; 3) female Paranthropos boisei skull \$200; 4)</li> <li>Oldowan tool casts 320; 5) Levallois core &amp; Mousterian point core \$320; 6) male</li> </ol>		\$3,995	yes	

\*Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document

Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10 Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010

## 2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

	Australopithecus afarensis skull \$225;			
	7) female Sahelanthropus tchadensis \$270;			
	8) Dmanisi Homo erectus skull \$295; 9) two			
	wheelbarrows \$200; 10) Curation boxes			
	\$200; 11) 15 UTM Measurement Guides			
	\$165; 12) Pin flags and flagging tape \$100			
*4.b. Budget for 5000s – Printing,	1) Terrain Navigator Pro map software	\$400	yes	yes
maintenance agreements, software	\$300; 2) Terrain Navigator Pro annual			
license, accreditation fees, etc.	updates \$100			
*4.c. Facilities				
*4.d. Faculty position	one full-time anthropology instructor			
*4.e. Classified staff position (contract)				
*4.e. Classified staff position (hourly)				
		TOTAL		
		13,020		

How will you evaluate whether or not you have met your goal/priority with the requested resources? These resources are required for us to meet the needs of our students and successfully implement course SLOs. These resources are needed to match course content. A student survey will be developed, similar to what CSIS is doing, to evaluate student satisfaction with the technology, courses, and program.

What evidence will you provide to reflect the impact these resources had on student learning? Through surveys of student satisfaction with the availability of the technology and/or supplies provided, and to the extent it improves student learning outcomes for our courses.

*5. Strategic Plan goal or objective	Course(s) & SLO(s) addressed by this	Program(s) and SLO(s) addressed by this
addressed by this priority:	priority: http://www.curricunet.com/Palomar/	priority (program is defined as a certificate,
http://www.palomar.edu/strategicplanning/Strate		degree, or discipline):
gic%20Plan%202009/Strategic%20Plan%20200	ANTH 100: SLO 5; ANTH 100L: (see ANTH	http://www.curricunet.com/Palomar/
9_book%20as%20printed.pdf	100 and 101); ANTH 101: SLOs 4, 6 and 7;	
	ANTH 110: SLO 1; ANTH 120: SLOs 1 and 2;	Program SLOs are currently are being
Goal 6: Optimize the technological	ANTH 205: SLOs 1 and 2; ANTH 210: SLOs	developed for the Archaeology Program.
environment to provide effective programs	1 and 2; ANTH 215: SLOs 1 and 2; ANTH	
and services throughout the District	220: SLOs 1 and 2; ANTH 225: SLO 2	

6. Reflect on the progress your discipline and/or department is making on defining, implementing, and assessing course, program, GE/Institutional level SLOs. What have been the benefits and what have been the challenges? We have completed all of our course SLOs, but the Program SLOs for the Archaeology Program remained to be written. This will be done in the next couple of weeks. The Anthropology Discipline is currently assessing two SLOs for ANTH 100, 100L, and 105 this semester.

Individuals completing this Program Review and Planning Supplemental document:

Name(s):	Signatures:	Date:
Philip de Barros		
James Eighmey		

\*Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10 Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010