# Palomar College – Institutional Review and Planning Non-Instructional Student Services Programs

#### **Purpose of Institutional Review:**

The institution assesses progress toward achieving stated goals and makes decisions regarding the improvement of institutional effectiveness in an on-going and
systematic cycle of evaluation, integrated planning, resource allocation, implementation, and re-evaluation. Evaluation is based on analyses of both quantitative and
qualitative data (ACCJC/WASC, Standard I, B.3.) Qualitative

## <u> Articulation</u>

Department/Discipline Reviewed

2007-08

1. 3-year trend of quantitative data

	2004-05	2005-06	2006-07	Definitions
Student Coun. Contacts	5	7	10	Face to face appointment and walk-in Counselor meetings with students: derived from SARS
Educational Plans				A sub-set of "Student Counselor Contacts" where an educational plan is created or updated
Assessments				Number of students assessed and placed into courses: derived from MIS data
Orientations				Number of students satisfying Orientation requirement: derived from MIS data
Follow-up contacts				Early Alert Letters/Probation Letters and No Ed Plan Letters: derived from MIS data
e-Mail/phone contacts	1,008/10,080	1,680/11,760	2,688/13,440	Number of phone calls and e-mails answered by staff and counselors: derived from SARS
Full-time FTEF	1	1	1	FTEF from Contract Counseling Faculty: derived from IRP/Staff data
Part-time FTEF				FTEF from Hourly Counseling Faculty: derived from dept. NOHE Excel totals
Overload FTEF	225 hrs	264 hrs	307 hrs	FTEF from Contract Counseling Faculty Overload: derived from dept. NOHE Excel totals
Full-time/Part-time %				Percent of Total Counseling hours filled by PT Counselors: ratio of data above
FTEF/Headcount Ratio				Ratio of possible credit students needing service to FTEF available to provide services: IRP
Number of FT Staff				Number of FT staff available to serve students: derived from IRP office
Number of Part-time Staff				Number of Part-time staff available to serve students: derived from IRP Office
Staff/Student Ratio				Ratio of possible credit students needing service to total Staff available to provide services
Prog. Persistence Rate				% of Students from one semester who enroll in the subsequent semester: IRP Office
Prog. Retention Rates				% of Students with Non-W grades in a semester divided by all grades: IRP Office
Prog. Completion Rates				Total number of degrees, certificates and transfers from a given program: IRP Office

### 2. Reflect upon and analyze the above 3-year trend data. Briefly discuss overall observations and any areas of concern or noteworthy trends.

As the articulation officer, most of the data above does not pertain to me. The numbers above are compiled from an 11 month contract. Typically the students that I see are exit students who need me to intervene on their behalf with the four-year institution. The increase in NOHE hours reflects the implementation and launching of CurricuNet, our new curriculum managements system. In the past, the Articulation Officer did not see new or changed curriculum until the courses/programs appeared on the Curriculum Committee agenda. Now, with CurricuNet, I am involved to a much greater extent with faculty in the curriculum

development process. The increase in phone calls and e-mails also reflects that involvement with faculty and administrators. NOHE hours, increased e-mails and phone calls are also a result of constant legislation at the state level which creates issues that involves the Articulation Officer (e.g., No Child Left Behind, LDTP, CID, Title V revisions, UC Transfer Prep, etc.).

#### 3. Reflecting on the 3-year trend data, describe/discuss department/discipline planning related to the following:

PLAN – 2007-08	Assessment/Outcome – 2008-09
<ul> <li>Programs changes/improvements (consider changes due to Growth in FTES and Headcount, CSU/UC transfer language updates, articulation, workforce and labor market projections, certificate or degree completions, etc.)</li> </ul>	
<ol> <li>Continued to refine and improve CurricuNet and the curriculum cycle</li> <li>Work with faculty to submit courses for LDTP</li> <li>Work with faculty to develop new degrees and programs due to new Title 5 standards</li> <li>Renumber the CSIS Department courses</li> <li>Develop a new way to make contact with the Private Institutions and for tracking Articulation</li> <li>Work with the Nursing Department to develop a new AS degree in Nursing</li> <li>Those Title 5 changes that affect academic advising need to be reflected on our forms and in our catalog. Staff and counselors nee multiple training in changes</li> </ol>	
Begin discussions about a University Studies degree	
b. Additional Programs to develop (consider enrollment trends, growth, student demands, wait times, comprehensiveness, etc.)	
No Programs in my area	

4. To implement the planning described in question #3, discuss/identify the necessary resources requested to successfully implement:

PLAN	I 2007-08	Assessment/Outcome – 2008-09
a.	Equipment/Technology - block grant funds, VTEA, other resources, etc.  None	
b.	Budget - budget development process, one-time funds, grants, etc.	
	Articulation has never been supported financially by the college. Our budget has always been a \$5,000 grant issued by the state. We have been informed that we will no longer be given that state money. As Articulation is the basis of all transfer, it is imperative that the area be	

	supported financially by the campus.	
C.	Facilities - scheduled maintenance needs, additional space/offices due to growth, remodeling, etc.	
	None – will be moving to new building in 2012.	
d.	Faculty position(s) - faculty priority process and projected full-time needs for 1-3 years above the Counselor formula	
	None	
e.	Staff position (s) - changes staffing needs due to program growth, new technology, etc.	
	Additional staff is needed to help manage the technology (ASSIST, OSCAR, CurricuNet), clerical demands, and number contacts with public and private institutions.	
f.	Other	

5. Discuss one department/discipline goal linked to Palomar's Strategic Plan 2009 and how it will support the success of students.

Please see counseling goals.

Please see the Counseling Department Instructional Review Report

### 6. Student Learning Outcome progress:

a. Describe a learning outcome at the program level and the assessment method used to measure student learning of that outcome.

Please see the Counseling Instructional Review Report

b. Describe a learning outcome that is difficult to assess.

Please See the Counseling Instructional Review Report

7. Describe a department accomplishment that you would like to share with the college community.

As the Articulation Officer, I was part of a small work group which implemented our new curriculum management system - CurricuNet. In addition, the I have been part of the team responsible for training of faculty on the new system. CurricuNet has eliminated many curriculum problems and streamlined the process. It has been well received on campus. Each year that we have CurricuNet, we continue to refine the process.

	8. Are there other resources	(including data	a) that you need to	complete your de	epartment/disciplin	ne review and plant	anning?
--	------------------------------	-----------------	---------------------	------------------	---------------------	---------------------	---------

Articulation Officers must stay abreast with new state legislation; changes at the California State Chancellor's Office and the University Of California Office of the President; updates in coursework, policy and procedures at 9 UC campuses, 23 CSU campuses and 77 private institutions. The only way that this can happen is for frequent conferences, workshops, professional groups and training sessions. These all create travel expenses and registration fees. The Articulation Office needs at budget of at least \$5,000 to fund these activities.

or programs with an external accreditation/program review, indicate the date of the last accreditation visit and discuss ecommendations and progress made on the recommendations.		
9. Other comments, recommendations		
Please identify faculty and staff who participated in the development of this plan:		
Gloria Kerkhoff – Articulation Officer		
Name/signature	Date	