2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

Please complete this form for each priority you identified in the 2008-2009 progress report (review these at PRP Supplemental Report Form). Please list at the end of this form the faculty and staff who participate in this report. Forward (1) a hard copy to Instructional Services and (2) email a Microsoft Word copy to jdecker@palomar.edu no later than 3/05/2010.

Department Graphic Communications	**Department Priority # 1**	☐ **No funding/resources are being requested**
Program/Discipline: Graphic Communications Imaging & Publishing	**Program/Discipline Priority # FOR 2010-2011: 1 of 2**	

To establish a priority, use the current Program Review and Planning (PRP) document submitted Spring 2009 (posted at PRP Supplemental Report Form) for this program or discipline. Identify from Box #2 in the PRP a priority for the upcoming academic year or develop a priority based on the data analysis discussed in Box #2.

*2. Data Analysis (restate or summarize the data analysis from the PRP):
The enrollment in this subdiscipline reflects a state and national trend as verified in the LMI report, that there has been an increase in publishing jobs and that the trend will continue to grow by 21.9%. The discipline data also shows that most of the imaging and publishing courses are taught by part-time faculty (84.13%). Overall, the consensus is that Print is NOT dead, but is experiencing a revitalization and repurposing. Print/publishing is now driven by data and content management systems which is then repurposed for multiple output solutions. The lines between publishing and other areas of multimedia are blurring. Students are interested in the publishing as part of their multimedia, communication education, job training and career choices. The professors feel that having all of our classes in a new and modern faciltiy, all under one roof, will revitalize the program. We anticipate a greater demand. For example, our screen printing program is currently housed off-site in a high school quite a distance from the San Marcos campus. It can only be available to us while the high school students are not in session. Once we have the screen printing labs available to us full time, we anticipate a greater demand.

*3.a/b. Describe your goal (priority) based on data analysis from the PRP:
1. As a result of a recommendation from a recent Advisory Board meeting, we need to develop a couraes that incorporate new technology such as variable data printing, new methods of color management, and continually introduce new technologies for printing and publishing. Prepress and workflow are also areas that are changing in our industry. There is a growing demand for the flexibility of online learning, and we are increasingly offering courses online in this subdiscipline. The department has a new tenure track professor who needs support to develop the print services courses for this subdiscipline. 2. Having access to classrooms and labs that are equipped with the latest technology will increase demands. We do not want to turn away students or have unused lab space due to budget constraints or a policy of reducing classes or instructor positions.

Resources requested: Identify all the resources you are requesting to support the implementation of this priority. These resources would be additional funding needed beyond what is already provided to the discipline through the base resource allocation process.	Describe the resource(s) requested	Cite page(s) that provide rationale for this priority request	Estimated Amount of Funding Requested	New, one-time funding	New, on-going funding
*4.a. Equipment – Per unit cost is ≥$500 (microscopes, table saw, etc.)	**Screen Printing Lab$200K; Pressroom Lab $879K**	**Appendix A; Appendix B**	**1,079,000.00**	**1,079,000.00**	
*4.a. Technology (computers, data projectors, document readers, etc.)	**(None)**				
*4.b. Budget for 4000s - Per unit cost is ≤$500 (supplies)	**Instructional supplies to support classroom learning.**	**Based on previous Year's budget.**	**3,303.00**		**3,303.00/year**
*4.b. Budget for 5000s – Printing, maintenance agreements, software license, accreditation fees, etc.	**Instructional supplies to maintain labs.**	**Based on previous Year's budget.**	**1,167.00**		**1,167.00/year**

*Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document
Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10
Reviewed by Faculty Senate on 02/01/2010; Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010

2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

*4.c. Facilities	(We shall move to our new MD Building.)				
*4.d. Faculty position	(We are at the bottom of the list.)				
*4.e. Classified staff position (contract)	(No new positions requested.)				
*4.e. Classified staff position (hourly)	Student hourlies.	Student hourlies to fill lab tech postiions is especially important for students in this subdiscipline, since they are new to the techonology, software, and hardware used in this field and industry. Student hourlies also print student projects at our student print center; self-service printing has been discontinued to avoid wastage and to protect expensive equipment that doesn't withstand usage by untrained users or is not user friendly or intuitive to use.	5,000.00		5,000.00/year
			TOTAL 1,088,470.00		

*Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document
Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10
Reviewed by Faculty Senate on 02/01/2010; Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010

2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

How will you evaluate whether or not you have met your goal/priority with the requested resources?
While it is unlikeley that we shall be able to hire a full time professor in the near future, we shall continue to hire adjuncts who are active in the industry to give real world skills to our students. However, with flat scheduling policies and the preference list to continue to hire existing instructors, we may be unable to bring in new talent with the latest skills. In such a case, we must encourage the existing instructors to maintain and continually update their industry skills.

What evidence will you provide to reflect the impact these resources had on student learning?
We shall report the results of the analysis of our Student Learning Outcomes.

*5. Strategic Plan goal or objective addressed by this priority in **Strategic Plan 2013**?	Course(s) & SLO(s) addressed by this priority in **Curricunet**?	Program(s) and SLO(s) addressed by this priority (program is defined as a certificate, degree, or discipline) in **Curricunet**?
Goal 2: Strengthen programs and services for our students in order to support their educational goals. Objective 2.4: Implement Student Learning Outcomes Assessment Cycles (SLOACs) and Services Area Outcomes Assessment Cycles (SAOACs) at the course, program, and institutional level to further improve institutional effectiveness. Objective 2.5: Establish processes to ensure the quality of distance education offerings. Goal 4: Recruit, hire, and support diverse faculty and staff to meet the needs of students. Goal 6: Optimize the technological environment to provide effective programs and services throughout the district.	**GCIP 140; GCiP 149; GCIP 152; Example of SLO's: 1. Create and produce a stationary package utilizing industry software such as InDesign, and press technology such as offset printing. 2. Produce a screen printed project incorporating a complex design using multiple colors, such as "CMYK" process colors, using industry practices.**	**Digital Arts; Graphic Communications Emphasis in Electronic Publishing; Graphic Communications Emphasis in Management; Screen Printing; plus others. SLO: Integrate Adobe software applications (raster, vector) into a final print document. The courses in this subdiscipline will give students the skills to work in service positions, production positions, and sales positions in our print industry.**

6. Reflect on the progress your discipline and/or department is making on defining, implementing, and assessing course, program, GE/Institutional level SLOs. What have been the benefits and what have been the challenges?
It has been a challenge to come together as a group to discuss the various courses; we have many adjunct faculty who work full time and

*Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document
Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10
Reviewed by Faculty Senate on 02/01/2010; Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010

2009-2010 Instructional Program Review and Planning Supplemental Form

cannot participate in department group activities. The full time faculty have schedules that overlap, so that there is never an office hour time available when all are not in class teaching. The times that they are not in class, such as late Fridays and weekends have not been acceptable to meet. Another challenge has been the interface in Curricunet. The adjuncts in particular have found it to be a cumbersome interface and not intuitive. This has discouraged them from assisting in contributing. The concept of SLO's is also more difficult for many. The shift from course objectives to outcomes is another challenge. We have solved this by communicating asynchronously through the Internet, or holding meetings for small groups. Those willing to work on the SLO's have done so without the input of each an every instructor. Those instructors unable to particpate have accepted the results so far. Those with a grasp of the SLO concept have led the discussions to encourage fuller participation.

Individuals completing this Program Review and Planning Supplemental document:

Name(s):	Signatures:	Date:
Lillian S. Payn		5 March, 2010
Mark Bealo		5 March, 2010
Ken Dodson		5 March, 2010
Grace Fowler		5 March, 2010
Wade Rollins		5 March, 2010

*Numbering parallels sections in original Program Review and Planning document
Program Review and Planning Supplemental 2009-2010 Form Updated with IPC input 1.28.10
Reviewed by Faculty Senate on 02/01/2010; Reviewed by SPC on 02/02/2010