PALOMAR COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE M GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ELECTION 2006

FINANCIAL AND PERFORMANCE AUDITS

JUNE 30, 2015

PALOMAR COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE M GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ELECTION 2006

FINANCIAL AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2015

FINANCIAL AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2015

Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings

FINANCIAL SECTION	
Independent Auditor's Report	2
Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund	
Balance Sheet	4
Statement of Revenues, Expenditures, and Changes in Fund Balance	5
Notes to Financial Statements	6
INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT	
Report on Internal Control Over Financial Reporting and on Compliance and Other Matters Based on an Audit of Financial Statements Performed in Accordance With Government Auditing Standards	12
SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS	
Financial Statement Findings	15

16

FINANCIAL SECTION



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT

Board of Trustees and Citizens' Oversight Committee Palomar Community College District San Marcos, California

Report on the Financial Statements

We have audited the accompanying financial statements of Palomar Community College District's (the District) Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund (General Obligation Bonds, Election 2006) as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and the related notes to the financial statements, as listed in the Table of Contents.

Management's Responsibility for the Financial Statements

Management is responsible for the preparation and fair presentation of these financial statement in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America; this includes the design, implementation, and maintenance of internal control relevant to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements that are free from material misstatement, whether due to fraud or error.

Auditor's Responsibility

Our responsibility is to express an opinion on these financial statements based on our audit. We conducted our audit in accordance with auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain reasonable assurance about whether the financial statements are free of material misstatement.

An audit involves performing procedures to obtain audit evidence about the amounts and disclosures in the financial statements. The procedures selected depend on the auditor's judgment, including the assessment of the risks of material misstatement of financial statements, whether due to fraud or error. In making those risk assessments, the auditor considers internal control relevant to the District's preparation and fair presentation of the financial statements in order to design audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we express no such opinion. An audit also includes evaluating the appropriateness of accounting principles used and the reasonableness of significant accounting estimates made by management, as well as evaluating the overall financial statement presentation.

We believe that the audit evidence we have obtained is sufficient and appropriate to provide a basis for our audit opinion.

Opinion

In our opinion, the financial statements referred to above present fairly, in all material respects, the financial position of the Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund (General Obligation Bonds, Election 2006) of the District at June 30, 2015, and the respective changes in financial position for the year then ended in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund specific to General Obligation Bonds, Election 2006, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Other Reporting Required by Government Auditing Standards

In accordance with *Government Auditing Standards*, we have also issued our report dated December 18, 2015, on our consideration of the District's Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund's (General Obligation Bonds, Election 2006) internal control over financial reporting and on our tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, grant agreements, and other matters. The purpose of that report is to describe the scope of our testing of internal control over financial reporting and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the internal control over financial reporting or on compliance. That report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund's (General Obligation Bonds, Election 2006) internal control over financial reporting and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

San Diego, California December 18, 2015

Vaurine, Day! Co. LLP

BALANCE SHEET JUNE 30, 2015

A CONTING	
ASSETS	
Investments	\$ 264,846,432
Accounts receivable	246,298
Due from other funds	16,117_
Total Assets	\$ 265,108,847
LIABILITIES AND FUND BALANCE	
LIABILITIES	
Accounts payable	\$ 4,556,235
Due to other funds	29,986
Total Liabilities	4,586,221
FUND BALANCE	
Restricted for capital projects	260,522,626
Total Fund Equity	260,522,626
Total Liabilities and Fund Balance	\$ 265,108,847

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

STATEMENT OF REVENUES, EXPENDITURES, AND CHANGES IN FUND BALANCE

FOR THE YEAR ENDED JUNE 30, 2015

REVENUES	
Local revenues	\$ 681,367
Total Revenues	681,367
EXPENDITURES	
Classified salaries	336,003
Employee benefits	182,599
Other expenses and services	3,762,145
Capital outlay	27,518,421
Total Expenditures	31,799,168
EXCESS OF EXPENDITURES OVER REVENUES	(31,117,801)
OTHER FINANCING SOURCES (USES)	
Other sources - bond proceeds	361,640,275
Interfund transfers out	(141,220,434)
Total Other Financing Sources (Uses)	220,419,841
NET CHANGE IN FUND BALANCE	189,302,040
FUND BALANCE, BEGINNING OF YEAR	71,220,586
FUND BALANCE, END OF YEAR	\$ 260,522,626

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these financial statements.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

NOTE 1 - SUMMARY OF SIGNIFICANT ACCOUNTING POLICIES

Financial Reporting Entity

The audited financial statements include only the Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund of the District. This Fund was established to account for the receipt of proceeds of general obligation bond issuances and the expenditures of the proceeds under the General Obligation Bond Election of 2006. These financial statements are not intended to present fairly the financial position and the changes in financial position of the District in compliance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Fund Accounting

The operations of the Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund are accounted for in a separate set of self-balancing accounts that comprise its assets, liabilities, fund balance, revenues, and expenditures. Resources are allocated to, and accounted for, in the fund based upon the purpose for which they are to be spent and the means by which spending activities are controlled.

Basis of Accounting

Basis of accounting refers to when revenues and expenditures are recognized in the accounts and reported in the financial statements. Basis of accounting relates to the timing of measurement made, regardless of the measurement focus applied.

Governmental funds are generally accounted for using the modified accrual basis of accounting. Their revenues are recognized in the accounting period in which they become both measurable and available to finance expenditures of the current fiscal period. Expenditures are recognized in the accounting period in which the liability is incurred (when goods are received or services rendered), except for unmatured interest on the general obligation bonds, which are recognized when due.

Budgets and Budgetary Accounting

Annual budgets are adopted on a basis consistent with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America for all governmental funds. The District's governing board adopts an operating budget in accordance with State law. A public hearing must be conducted to receive comments prior to adoption. The District's governing board satisfied these requirements. The Board revises this budget during the year to give consideration to unanticipated revenue and expenditures primarily resulting from events unknown at the time of budget adoption. The District employs budget control by minor object and by individual appropriation accounts. Expenditures cannot legally exceed appropriations by major object account.

Encumbrances

The District utilizes an encumbrance accounting system under which purchase orders, contracts, and other commitments for the expenditure of monies are recorded in order to reserve that portion of the applicable appropriation. Encumbrances are liquidated when the commitments are paid and all outstanding encumbrances lapse at June 30.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

Use of Estimates

The preparation of financial statements in conformity with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America requires management to make estimates and assumptions that affect the reported amounts of assets and liabilities and disclosure of contingent assets and liabilities at the date of the financial statements and the reported amounts of revenues and expenditures during the reporting period. Actual results could differ from those estimates.

Fund Balance - Governmental Funds

As of June 30, 2015, the fund balance of the Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund was classified as follows:

Restricted - amounts that can be spent only for specific purposes because of constitutional provisions or enabling legislation, or because of constraints that are externally imposed by creditors, grantors, contributors, or the laws or regulations of other governments.

NOTE 2 - INVESTMENTS

Policies and Practices

The District is authorized under California Government Code to make direct investments in local agency bonds, notes, or warrants within the State; U.S. Treasury instruments; registered State warrants or treasury notes; securities of the U.S. Government, or its agencies; bankers acceptances; commercial paper; certificates of deposit placed with commercial banks and/or savings and loan companies; repurchase or reverse repurchase agreements; medium term corporate notes; shares of beneficial interest issued by diversified management companies, certificates of participation, obligations with first priority security; and collateralized mortgage obligations.

Investment in County Treasury

The District is considered to be an involuntary participant in an external investment pool as the District is required to deposit all receipts and collections of monies with their County Treasurer (*Education Code* Section 41001). The fair value of the District's investment in the pool is reported in the accompanying financial statements based on the fair value provided by the County Treasurer. The balance available for withdrawal is based on the accounting records maintained by the County Treasurer, which is recorded on the amortized cost basis.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

General Authorizations

Limitations as they relate to interest rate risk, credit risk, and concentration of credit risk are indicated in the schedules below:

	Maximum	Maximum	Maximum
Authorized	Remaining	Percentage	Investment
Investment Type	Maturity	of Portfolio	in One Issuer
Local Agency Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
Registered State Bonds, Notes, Warrants	5 years	None	None
U.S. Treasury Obligations	5 years	None	None
U.S. Agency Securities	5 years	None	None
Banker's Acceptance	180 days	40%	30%
Commercial Paper	270 days	25%	10%
Negotiable Certificates of Deposit	5 years	30%	None
Repurchase Agreements	1 year	None	None
Reverse Repurchase Agreements	92 days	20% of base	None
Medium-Term Corporate Notes	5 years	30%	None
Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Money Market Mutual Funds	N/A	20%	10%
Mortgage Pass-Through Securities	5 years	20%	None
County Pooled Investment Funds	N/A	None	None
Local Agency Investment Fund (LAIF)	N/A	None	None
Joint Powers Authority Pools	N/A	None	None

Interest Rate Risk

Interest rate risk is the risk that changes in market interest rates will adversely affect the fair value of an investment. Generally, the longer the maturity of an investment, the greater the sensitivity of its fair value to changes in market interest rates. The District does not have a formal investment policy that limits investment maturities as a means of managing its exposure to fair value losses arising from increasing interest rates. The District manages its exposure to interest rate risk by investing in the County of San Diego Investment Pool. The District maintains an investment of \$264,846,432 with the County of San Diego Investment Pool. The average maturity for the County of San Diego Investment Pool was 356 days. The fair value of the County Investment Pool was approximately \$264,739,110.

Credit Risk

Credit risk is the risk that an issuer of an investment will not fulfill its obligation to the holder of the investment. This is measured by the assignment of a rating by a nationally recognized statistical rating organization. The District's investment in the County of San Diego Investment Pool is rated AAAf/S1 by Standard & Poor's Investor Service.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

NOTE 3 - ACCOUNTS RECEIVABLE

Receivables at June 30, 2015, consist of the following:

Interest \$ 246,298

NOTE 4 - DUE FROM OTHER FUNDS

The Unrestricted General Fund owes the General Obligation Bond Fund \$16,117 for interest.

The General Obligation Bond Fund owes the Unrestricted General Fund \$6,802 for salaries.

The General Obligation Bond Fund owes the Internal Service Fund \$23,184 for benefit and insurance payments.

NOTE 5 - ACCOUNTS PAYABLE

Accounts payable at June 30, 2015, consist of the following:

Construction payables \$ 4,556,235

NOTE 6 - FUND BALANCE

Fund balance is composed of the following element:

Restricted Capital projects

\$ 260,522,626

NOTE 7 - INTERFUND TRANSFERS

During the 2014-2015 year, the District issued a new series of bonds in the amount of \$361,640,275. As part of this issuance, the District also refunded previously issued bonds and transferred \$141,220,434 of the proceeds to the Bond Interest and Redemption Fund.

NOTES TO FINANCIAL STATEMENTS JUNE 30, 2015

NOTE 8 - COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of June 30, 2015, the Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund had the following significant construction commitments with respect to unfinished capital projects:

	Remaining	Expected
	Construction	Date of
CAPITAL PROJECT	Commitment	Completion
Baseball Field Relocation	\$ 1,212,501	8/14/2015
Child Development Center	8,477,148	12/15/2015
New Parking Structure/Police Substation	30,659,273	8/15/2017
Library/LRC	61,557,593	12/15/2017
Maintenance and Operations Building	15,474,000	1/15/2018
	\$ 117,380,515	

Litigation

The District is involved in various litigation arising from the normal course of business. In the opinion of management and legal counsel, the disposition of all litigation pending is not expected to have a material adverse effect on the overall financial position of the Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund at June 30, 2015.

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT



INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON INTERNAL CONTROL OVER FINANCIAL REPORTING AND ON COMPLIANCE AND OTHER MATTERS BASED ON AN AUDIT OF FINANCIAL STATEMENTS PERFORMED IN ACCORDANCE WITH GOVERNMENT AUDITING STANDARDS

Board of Trustees and Citizens' Oversight Committee Palomar Community College District San Marcos, California

We have audited, in accordance with the auditing standards generally accepted in the United States of America and the standards applicable to financial audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States, the accompanying financial statements of the Palomar Community College District's (the District) Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund (General Obligation Bonds, Election 2006), as of and for the year ended June 30, 2015, and have issued our report thereon dated December 18, 2015.

Emphasis of Matter

As discussed in Note 1, the financial statements present only the Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund specific to General Obligation Bonds, Election 2006, and are not intended to present fairly the financial position and changes in financial position of the District in accordance with accounting principles generally accepted in the United States of America.

Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

In planning and performing our audit of the financial statements, we considered the District's internal control over financial reporting (internal control) to determine the audit procedures that are appropriate in the circumstances for the purpose of expressing our opinions on the financial statements, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

A *deficiency in internal control* exists when the design or operation of a control does not allow management or employees, in the normal course of performing their assigned functions, to prevent, or detect and correct, misstatements on a timely basis. A *material weakness* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the District's financial statements will not be prevented, or detected and corrected, on a timely basis. A *significant deficiency* is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control that is less severe than a material weakness, yet important enough to merit attention by those charged with governance.

Our consideration of internal control over financial reporting was for the limited purpose described in the first paragraph of this section and was not designed to identify all deficiencies in internal control over financial reporting that might be significant deficiencies or material weaknesses. Given these limitations, during our audit, we did not identify any deficiencies in internal control that we consider to be material weaknesses. However, material weaknesses may exist that have not been identified.

Compliance and Other Matters

As part of obtaining reasonable assurance about whether the District's financial statements are free of material misstatement, we performed tests of its compliance with certain provisions of laws, regulations, contracts, and grant agreements, noncompliance with which could have a direct and material effect on the determination of financial statement amounts. However, providing an opinion on compliance with those provisions was not an objective of our audit and, accordingly, we do not express such an opinion. The results of our testing disclosed no instances of noncompliance or other matters that are required to be reported under *Government Auditing Standards*.

Purpose of this Report

The purpose of this report is solely to describe the scope of our testing of internal control and compliance and the results of that testing, and not to provide an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control or on compliance. This report is an integral part of an audit performed in accordance with *Government Auditing Standards* in considering the District's internal control and compliance. Accordingly, this communication is not suitable for any other purpose.

San Diego, California December 18, 2015

Vaurine Day! Co. LLP

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS

FINANCIAL STATEMENT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2015

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2015

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Financial Statement Findings.

PALOMAR COMMUNITY COLLEGE DISTRICT

MEASURE M GENERAL OBLIGATION BONDS ELECTION 2006

PERFORMANCE AUDIT

JUNE 30, 2015

PERFORMANCE AUDIT TABLE OF CONTENTS JUNE 30, 2015

Independent Auditor's Report on Performance	1
Authority for Issuance	2
Purpose of Issuance	2
Authority for the Audit	2
Objectives of the Audit	3
Scope of the Audit	3
Procedures Performed	3
Conclusion	4
Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs	5
Summary Schedule of Prior Audit Findings	6

INDEPENDENT AUDITOR'S REPORT ON PERFORMANCE

Board of Trustees and Citizens' Oversight Committee Palomar Community College District San Marcos, California

We were engaged to conduct a performance audit of Palomar Community College District's (the District) Measure M General Obligation Bond Fund (General Obligation Bonds, Election 2006) for the year ended June 30, 2015.

We conducted this performance audit in accordance with the standards applicable to performance audits contained in *Government Auditing Standards*, issued by the Comptroller General of the United States. Those standards require that we plan and perform the audit to obtain sufficient, appropriate evidence to provide a reasonable basis for our conclusion based on our audit objectives. We believe that the evidence obtained provides a reasonable basis for our conclusions based on our audit objectives.

Our audit was limited to the objectives listed within the report which includes determining the District's compliance with the performance requirements as referred to in Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution. Management is responsible for the District's compliance with those requirements.

In planning and performing our performance audit, we obtained an understanding of the District's internal control in order to determine if the internal controls were adequate to help ensure the District's compliance with the requirements of Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, but not for the purpose of expressing an opinion of the effectiveness of the District's internal control. Accordingly, we do not express an opinion on the effectiveness of the District's internal control.

The results of our tests indicated that the District expended Proposition 39 Measure M General Obligation Bond Funds only for the specific projects approved by the voters, in accordance with Proposition 39 and outlined in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution.

San Diego, California December 18, 2015, 2015

Vaurine Day! Co. LLP

JUNE 30, 2015

AUTHORITY FOR ISSUANCE

The Measure M General Obligation Bonds (the Bonds) were issued pursuant to the Constitution and laws of the State of California (the State), including the provisions of Chapters 1 and 1.5 of Part 10 of the California *Education Code*, and other applicable provisions of law. The Bonds were authorized to be issued by a resolution adopted by the Board of Supervisors of North San Diego County (the County Resolution), pursuant to a request of the Palomar Community College District (the District) made by a resolution adopted by the Board of Education of the District (the District Resolution).

The District received authorization from an election held in November 2006 to issue bonds of the District in an aggregate principal amount not to exceed \$694,000,000 to finance specific acquisition, construction, and modernization projects approved by eligible voters within the District. The Measure required approval by at least 55 percent of the votes cast by eligible voters within the District. The Measure M Bond Funds represent the authorized bond issuance of the Series 2006A, Series 2006B, Series 2006C, and 2015 Refunding bonds.

PURPOSE OF ISSUANCE

Pursuant to the 2006 Authorization, the proceeds of the Bonds will be used to finance the repair, renovation, and construction of facilities noted on a specific Project List for facilities of the District. The bond project list includes:

- Upgrade nursing and emergency medical career training labs
- Modernize outdated science, computer and job training labs/equipment
- Upgrade electrical and technology infrastructure
- Repair/replace deteriorated roofs, plumbing, lighting, heating, and ventilation systems
- Improve energy efficiency
- Modernize/replace outdated classrooms and student support facilities
- Complete safety upgrades to college buildings and grounds

AUTHORITY FOR THE AUDIT

On November 7, 2000, California voters approved Proposition 39, the Smaller Classes, Safer Schools, and Financial Accountability Act. Proposition 39 amended portions of the California Constitution to provide for the issuance of general obligation bonds by school districts, community college districts, or county offices of education, "for the construction, reconstruction, rehabilitation, or replacement of school facilities, including the furnishing and equipping of school facilities, or the acquisition or lease of rental property for school facilities", upon approval by 55 percent of the electorate. In addition to reducing the approval threshold from two-thirds to 55 percent, Proposition 39 and the enacting legislation (AB 1908 and AB 2659) requires the following accountability measures as codified in *Education Code* Sections 15278-15282:

1. Requires that the proceeds from the sale of the bonds be used only for the purposes specified in Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution, and not for any other purpose, including teacher and administrator salaries and other school operating expenses.

JUNE 30, 2015

- 2. The community college district must list the specific school facilities projects to be funded in the ballot measure, and must certify that the governing board has evaluated safety, class size reduction, and information technology needs in developing the project list.
- 3. Requires the community college district to appoint a Citizens' Oversight Committee.
- 4. Requires the community college district to conduct an annual independent financial audit and performance audit in accordance with the *Government Auditing Standards* issued by the Comptroller General of the United States of the bond proceeds until all of the proceeds have been expended.
- 5. Requires the community college district to conduct an annual independent performance audit to ensure that the funds have been expended only on the specific projects listed.

OBJECTIVES OF THE AUDIT

- Determine whether expenditures charged to the Bonds have been made in accordance with the Bond project list approved by the voters through the approval of the Measure M.
- Determine whether proceeds received from the issuance of the Bonds has been deposited into the specific fund used to account for Measure M approved projects or for the refunding of previously issued bonds.
- Determine if the Citizens' Oversight Committee was appropriately appointed and met during the year.

SCOPE OF THE AUDIT

The scope of our performance audit covered the period of July 1, 2014 to June 30, 2015. The population of expenditures tested included all object and project codes associated with the Bond projects. The propriety of expenditures for capital projects and maintenance projects funded through other State or local funding sources, other than proceeds of the bonds, were not included within the scope of the audit.

PROCEDURES PERFORMED

We obtained the general ledger and the project expenditure reports prepared by the District for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2015, for the Bonds. Within the fiscal year audited, we obtained the actual invoices and other supporting documentation for a sample of expenditures to ensure compliance with the requirements of Article XIIIA, Section 1(b)(3)(C) of the California Constitution and Measure M as to the approved Bond projects list. We performed the following procedures:

- 1. We verified that a separate Bond Fund of the District has been established to account for the receipt of Bond proceeds and expenditure of the funds for the period July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015.
- 2. We verified proceeds in the amount of \$361,640,275 were appropriately deposited into the Bond fund for use for the approved Measure M projects and the refunding of previously issued general obligation bonds.

JUNE 30, 2015

- 3. We verified the District has established a Citizens' Bond Oversight Committee comprised of representatives from the seven specific constituency groups required by the Proposition.
- 4. We verified the Citizens' Bond Oversight committee met regularly during the year with agendas and/or minutes of the meetings maintained.
- 5. We selected a sample of expenditures for the period starting July 1, 2014 and ending June 30, 2015, and reviewed supporting documentation to ensure that such funds were properly expended on the specific projects listed in the ballot text.
- 6. Our sample included transactions totaling \$10,992,572. This represents 35 percent of the total expenditures of \$31,799,168.
- 7. We verified that funds from the Bonds were expended for the voter authorized Bond projects as listed in the Approved Bond Project Listing.
- 8. We verified that the District used formal bid procedures for those contracts over the construction bid level requirements in accordance with the Education Code requirements and District policies.

CONCLUSION

The results of our tests indicated that, in all significant respects, the District has properly accounted for the expenditures held in the Bond Funds and that such expenditures were made for authorized Bond projects. There were no salaries of administrators charged to the Bonds for District general administration or operations. District procedures for disbursement of funds were applied in accordance with laws and regulations, as well as policies approved by the Board of Trustees.

SCHEDULE OF FINDINGS AND QUESTIONED COSTS JUNE 30, 2015

None reported.

SUMMARY SCHEDULE OF PRIOR AUDIT FINDINGS JUNE 30, 2015

There were no audit findings reported in the prior year's Schedule of Findings and Questioned Costs.