



Minutes of the
MEETING OF THE FACULTY SENATE
November 30, 2020

APPROVED

PRESENT: Eduardo Aguilar, Lacey Craft, William Dalrymple, Alexandra Doyle Bauer, Kelly Falcone, Katy Farrell, Molly Faulkner, Jenny Ferrero, Erin Hiro, Jason Jarvinen, Teresa Laughlin, Lawrence Lawson, Beatrice Manneh, Jackie Martin, Adam Meehan, Susan Miller, Kateri Mouawad (ASG), Ben Mudgett, Scott Nelson, Wendy Nelson, Patrick O'Brien, Candace Rose, Sabrina Santiago, Elizabeth Stephens, Craig Thompson, Fari Towfiq, Rocco Versaci, Ellen Weller, Reza Wrathall, Anastasia Zavodny

ABSENT:

GUESTS: Diane Boldt, Cynthia Cordova, Vikash Lakhani, Leigh Marshall, Alyssa Vafaei, Jon Walker

Please note: All votes are presumed unanimous unless indicated otherwise.

CALL TO ORDER: The video conference meeting was called to order by Senate President Rocco Versaci, at 2:30 PM.

PUBLIC COMMENT:

Senator and Committee on Committees Chair Anastasia Zavodny made the following statement:

I would like to apologize to my fellow senators for the flood of emails received last week. I was mistaken in initially sending a redacted ballot as a precursor to the final ballot. While I felt it would strike a happy balance of getting initial information to you early as I worked to verify the names of each volunteer (as auto-fill can be an issue on these forms), I did not anticipate the consternation and confusion this would cause for some, nor their proclivity for "reply all" emails. Please forgive me.

In light of some of the comments made in the emails, I would like to seek clarification. I'm calling upon this leadership to immediately provide Senate with training in the Brown Act, provided by an expert trained in the Brown Act and its role within Academic Senates in our community college system.

Lastly, may we all remember our role as campus leaders. These are stressful times, and it is easy to overreact. But we need each other's support and understanding, now more than ever, so that we can focus on meeting our students' needs and helping them to succeed.

Thank you for your time.

Senate Secretary Molly Faulkner announced that Palomar Performs will provide a Zoom performance of A Christmas Carol this coming Friday and Saturday. www.palomarperforms.com

Senator and DE Chair Erin Hiro reminded Senators that the deadline for faculty certification for spring is December 18 at noon. Additionally, the part-time faculty deadline is tomorrow, December 1 at noon.

ANNOUNCEMENTS:

To continue ASG's outreach efforts, ASG representative Kateri Mouawad is seeking out faculty who will allow an opportunity for ASG to present during any of the spring online classes. ASG is making great strides in these efforts and was recently able to provide 250 bags of school supplies to needy students at the Thanksgiving Giveaway Event. If any faculty are interested, they can either email Kateri at KMouawad@palomar.com or complete the form at https://docs.google.com/document/d/1pcp6z1l6t9GbJujgk_aW7oWycNwfQkv7IcE6jAz5fjE/edit?usp=sharing

Senate President Rocco Versaci reminded Senators that the Governance Task Force is looking for feedback on the final revision. [Governance Structure Handbook Revision Website](#). [Feedback Form](#) Senator, TERB Coordinator and task force member Lawrence Lawson noted that the feedback deadline was last week but if any Senators submit feedback today, it will be considered before it goes to SPC tomorrow, December 1.

President Versaci reminded Senators about the Phi Theta Kappa student event this coming Friday, December 4. A sign up link was sent to all faculty this morning. This two-hour event will share videotaped student testimonials about their own experiences with racism. Discussions will occur as well. Faculty are the intended audience of this powerful event so he encouraged everyone to sign up.

<https://docs.google.com/forms/d/e/1FAIpQLSeEL1I5qqLstMzcenEzR2BUuXjezsN1hHRA4-pc5IJ5u1zL8A/viewform>

President Versaci also shared planning information he recently received from VP Shayla Sivert. The main points of the email include the following:

- Administration is moving forward with planning for summer 21 scheduling, just as they did initially for spring 21 that is any public safety in any essential infrastructure workforce classes to be offered will be offered face to face.
- EOC (Emergency Operations Center) is working on the criteria circumstances by which we will begin to bring others back to campus.
- Deans will be working with Chairs in December on the schedule. Anyone hoping to bring a class from online to face to face will have to plan to offer the online class synchronously with a clear schedule in place. This will be a schedule that will be adhered to if it ends up going face to face.
- Everyone will start discussing fall 2021 in December and January. Once February hits, scheduling work will go fast. If you have questions about your classes for those semesters, take them to your Chair or Dean soon.

Senator and Curriculum Chair Wendy Nelson commented that the timeline for planning for fall 2021 during December and January is not good because faculty are not here or available. She hopes final scheduling decisions get pushed to early February when faculty can weigh in on decisions.

AGENDA CHANGES: No agenda changes

APPROVAL OF MINUTES

Motion 1 MSC: Thompson/Towfiq

Faculty Senate approval of minutes dated November 16, 2020 as amended (see Exhibit 1).

Abstention: Jackie Martin

The motion carried.

ACTION

A. Curriculum

Motion 2 MSC: W. Nelson/Thompson Faculty Senate approval of curriculum actions taken November 18, 2020 as amended (see Exhibit 2).

The motion carried.

Senator Wendy Nelson also shared that Curriculum will be taking action during a December 16 meeting. These actions need to be approved by Faculty Senate during a special Faculty Senate meeting on either December 17 or 18. Versaci asked Senators to email availability to him for December 17 and 18 for a one item meeting.

B. Committee Appointments

Motion 3 MSC: Zavodny/Towfiq Faculty Senate approval to accept the results of the ballot for the DEqCC committee members (see Exhibit 3).

No vote taken (see Motion 4).

A few Senators explained they didn't have sufficient time to review the statements over the break. Senator and Committee on Committees Chair Anastasia Zavodny shared that Exhibit 3 which includes 14 applicants for eight positions was originally emailed to Senators on Sunday, November 22 (redacted version), on Tuesday, November 24 (not redacted version) and then again yesterday, November 29.

Senator Wendy Nelson asked if a window of time could be put in play so Senators could read the statements and vote electronically. We spend a lot of time discussing how to vote and then voting on these positions during our regular meetings. Zavodny replied that the Brown Act requires Senate to carry out all discussion and voting during a public meeting but raised the idea of conducting a special meeting for these types of elections.

Senator Will Dalrymple asked if we are to make voting decisions entirely on the applicant's statement or if we can conduct open discussion to share additional information with the body. Versaci stated that open discussions about applicants have not been part of the process in the past but is advocating for this to occur in the future.

Senator and DEqCC Co-Chair Eduardo Aguilar suggested that we wait for the vote since several Senators have not had the time to sufficiently review the statements.

To save time, Senator and PD Chair Kelly Falcone asked if the Google voting doc could be sent out prior to the meetings for Senators to complete and to just review the results during the meeting. Zavodny stated this process would not be in alignment with the Brown Act as well and suggested that Senate participate in a Brown Act training session to ensure we are following the requirements.

Senator Teresa Laughlin questioned the time sensitivity of this vote and Zavodny indicated that the initial call stated this committee would be seated this fall.

Zavodny noted that an additional faculty member submitted a statement after the deadline but their statement was not included here and not included on the ballot.

Motion 4 MSC: Thompson/Laughlin

Faculty Senate approval to suspend the vote for selection of the DEqCC committee members until December 7.

Nays: Jenny Fererro, Craig Thompson, Beatrice Manneh, Jason Jarvinen, Lawrence Lawson, Patrick O'Brien, Reza Wrathall

Abstentions: Anastasia Zavodny

The motion carried.

President Versaci shared that a call will be going out soon for members of the presidential hiring committee. The Governing Board gave VP Beam the go ahead for approving a timeline. Currently the committee will consist of 18 members to include two students, three administrators, one CAST member, four classified members and eight faculty. Faculty consists of one from each division and one part-time faculty. A community member may be added as well. HR needs this information by December 15 which means we must approve by the 14th. It is unclear if the divisional faculty requirement is in effect.

Senator Laughlin suggested that in lieu of selecting divisional representation because sometimes that can be problematic, at-large faculty may be selected as well. Laughlin went on to say that we're already paring down faculty voice so much that she thinks there's a good argument to be made that we need a full contingent of faculty on that presidential search whether or not they can't come from each division. And if we don't get one from each division we still need somebody to sit in that chair who's committed to finding us a good president.

Senator Kelly Falcone stated that we often have this discussion regarding divisional representation of faculty on various committees. She agrees that divisional representation is important but she would like to see Senate take the stance that when a division isn't represented, an at-large faculty member can fill the position.

Senate Vice President Jenny Fererro asked that clarification be made regarding faculty who may be on sabbatical during this time as well as asking for clarification on selecting faculty alternates to serve if needed.

Senator and Articulation Officer Ben Mudgett stated that his position doesn't belong to a specific division so in terms of equitable opportunity to participate in shared governance, we should include at least one at-large position.

Senator Zavodny asked if we should add questions for this presidential hiring committee call and based on this discussion, the call should go out tomorrow, December 1. Several Senators recommended that the new antiracism statement adopted by Faculty Senate be included on the call form itself.

Versaci will confirm faculty representation issues with HR before moving forward.

C. SLOAC Co-Coordinator Appointment

Senate President Versaci reported that no one has responded to the SLOAC Co-Coordinator call to be filled for the remaining academic year. Currently Senator Katy Farrell cannot continue through the spring semester. Versaci will send out an additional call and recognizes that faculty are not volunteering right now so close to the end of this semester but hopes this will change at the beginning of the new spring semester. A part-time faculty member is not eligible but should also not be put in a position to hold full time faculty accountable for work. In addition, institutional knowledge needed for this position is not usually found in a part time faculty member.

Senator Patrick O'Brien suggested that SLOAC Co-Chairs reach out individually to each SLOAC facilitator to ask if they would be interested in stepping in to this position. Also, each facilitator should get the word out in their own departments as well. Maybe these personal communications might make a difference.

Both Senator Jackie Martin and Senator Falcone suggested this may be an opportunity to review this position. Maybe we ask ourselves, does it fulfil what we need to fulfill? Could this become a position that is more appealing? Martin added that we may want to address SLOs assessments and outcomes at the college in general because at many four year institutions, SLOs are actually working through their teaching and learning centers. It is important work but also a lot of work and maybe the 40% release time is not sufficient.

Senator Adam Meehan added that often times, the faculty who have enough knowledge to do this work also realize that the release time is often insufficient to get the job done right. Negotiating more release time won't happen quickly enough but this becomes a big disincentive for some.

Senator Farrell indicated that the team creates a priority list each semester and they complete as much work as possible. After more discussion it was determined that another call will go out with a deadline date of December 11

D. SOAA Approval

Motion 5 MSC: W. Nelson/Thompson Faculty Senate approval of the Guided Pathways 2021 Scale of Adoption Assessment (SOAA) (see Exhibit 4).

Senator Wendy Nelson shared the SOAA document which is our planning document that will be reviewed by different governance structure committees so that everyone knows what's expected to meet some of the central practices. The document will be submitted to the Chancellor's Office and needs President Versaci's sign off.

Senator Craig Thompson questioned the language on page 12 regarding integrating all tutorial services since we just opposed this integration. Senator Nelson stated the language could be changed in the document.

Senator Jackie Martin stated that the document looks sufficient and shared that it isn't really looked at that critically at the Chancellor's Office level. Martin thinks it's more of our own comfort level with the product that we're putting forth.

Senator Fari Towfiq also mentioned that she sees some contradiction in the tutoring areas of the document. Senator Nelson asked Towfiq and Thompson to send her tutoring issues right away and this will come back to Action next week.

Vote on Motion delayed.

INFORMATION ITEMS

A. Part-time Instructor

Senator Will Dalrymple provided a quick update of the part-time faculty workgroup. At the first meeting, they discussed the issues they were trying to map out and the scope of what they were working with. In the course of those discussions they decided it would be useful to seek out concrete and specific information so that they would have a more data-driven process. This involved some research and involved sending out a survey to all faculty. He said senators likely saw this a couple of weeks ago and it closed last Friday. They received

volumes of information and are now summarizing it and identifying themes and mapping it out to make it actionable. The document will go out to Senate by Friday and will include exhibits.

Senator Dalrymple believes the document strikes a good balance and it gives us a good place where this work can start. And it really fits in with the other equity work that Senate is engaged with. Dalrymple asked Senators to give it a good read before the meeting on December 7.

B. TERB Ideas Regarding Peer Review & Student Evaluations (see Exhibit 5)

Senator and TERB Coordinator Lawrence Lawson requested that this item get bumped to next meeting to allow for more discussion time. Before that meeting, he encouraged Senators to read through the discussion items that include adding an outside member to all peer review committees just as they have for probationary. The idea is to strengthen the peer process and to make it more fair. The second one is running student evaluations in every class every fall and spring and then giving the results to the instructor after the grades are posted. This student feedback will become more valued and respected. Instructors will have a chance to reflect on student feedback every semester.

C. Proposed Change to AP3430 (see Exhibit 6)

President Versaci began the discussion stating that this came up at SPC on November 17. At that time, he raised some objection to it and its coming back for a second read tomorrow at SPC. Versaci went over it quickly and hopes to have the force of the Senate behind him when he reiterates his objections again tomorrow at SPC.

Versaci shared the newly proposed harassment policy and a very specific thing he is concerned about in AP3430, lines 81 to 85 which reads:

Visual or Written: The display or circulation of visual written material that degrades an individual group based on gender, race, nationality, sexual orientation, or other protected status. This may include, but is not limited to posters, cartoons, drawings, graffiti, reading materials, computer graphics, or electronic media transmissions.

Versaci's concern with this is that unlike the other elements which are behavioral, this one can certainly be applied to classroom materials. And while there are disclaimers elsewhere in the policy about academic freedom, the complaint could still be broad and then this would have to be legislated through HR. Linda Beam's comments about how it would probably work out for the instructor were not very comforting.

As someone who uses a lot of visual materials in his classes including cartoons and drawings and things of a potentially controversial nature like the graphic novel *Maus*, this concerns Versaci and this is something that could possibly curtail or chill academic freedom in serious ways.

Versaci's larger concern is that a lot of this language is supplied by the CCLC (Community College League of California) which is not a governing body. This is a group largely made up of college presidents and trustees. Versaci put some information on the last page of this document about their board makeup which does not include any faculty members. And this is a group that administrators often use to get policy language from. This group also holds an annual conference where we've had faculty members go and the ways that they separate newly elected trustees from the faculty voice are shocking.

Senator Laughlin, a member of Policies & Procedures asked for clarification from Versaci who feels this particular disclaimer should be removed entirely due to the nature that HR will be the adjudicators.

Senator Lawson, also a member of Policies & Procedures advocated for adding a line about academic freedom there. Harassment on campus can come in those forms outside of the classroom and that needs to be dealt with. He went on to say that even if HR wasn't referenced, HR would be part of any due process.

Senator Falcone suggested that the Academic Freedom clause be moved to the top of the section and agrees with Lawson that this disclaimer should be left in. She also mentioned that she read a student's reply on the recent student survey about her instructors showing memes that are offensive to her.

Senator Sabrina Santiago added that there is a contradiction there now between academic freedom and what is being written that is supposed to be derogatory or offensive. The meme issue is really interesting to her because that's one of her assignments she would give about gender. If her students submit memes about gender and some of the stuff is offensive to one person or another. How do you deconstruct the concepts if you're not allowed to even talk about them, or we're afraid that we're going to get fired because it's just not popular right now? Santiago teaches sociology and the hot topics keep changing depending on the semester and the year. Things she could talk about before is causing others to meltdown.

Senator Dalrymple believes that maybe part of the problem is just the way that it's written in here. There is no language suggesting that visual written text used with the intent of and if you put the word intent that can be very problematic. But he thinks the point is there's ways that are essential to the educational process. And there are other ways that are for inappropriate purposes and we need to have the right policies in place to handle both. Senator Lawson responded to this by saying it's going to be difficult to put language into this policy that addresses both of those issues to the satisfaction of people on the other side, either side of the spectrum. It just comes back to that academic freedom piece that's meant to be broad enough to cover that. And then, of course, when things occur in the classroom that people are upset about like the one Sabrina mentioned with memes that are being shared. They might complain and this might be activated. You would then have the discussion about the academic freedom and the academic merits of those memes in the classroom.

Versaci confirmed Senator Laughlin's question whether this should go back to policies and procedures to have a conversation about that specific part of this policy. Laughlin went on to say that further discussion would be productive in policies and procedures. It's a very open discussion and people are very open minded to people's concerns. Versaci's concern is totally reasonable and if we can wordsmith it in a way that makes it better, then it will just be stronger for everybody.

VP Fererro confirmed that academic freedom is covered in the contract. Any language in AP3430 that contradicts the language in the contract can be overridden.

Senator Ellen Weller pointed out inconsistency between *Visual or Written* and *Environmental* clauses. Under *Environmental*, it says "may exist where it is permeated by ...directed at an individual or group. *Visual or Written* doesn't reference directed at an individual or group. She went on to say how she can't talk about the history of jazz without the materials to discuss it. These class materials are not directed towards any student and they may be offensive. We need to be able to talk about these things in an academic environment.

Senator Craig Thompson mentioned that there's a chilling effect on our non-protected faculty. He knew of probationary faculty when something like this occurs, they're very hesitant to approach these kinds of sensitive, but really essential topics again. So Thompson agrees that something needs to be done.

Kateri Mouawad spoke of the students' perspective that the more protection available to faculty on these issues, the better it is for the students in her opinion. If not, students will be losing out on really important discussions that need to be talked about.

Versaci will ask at the SPC meeting that this matter go back to Policies & Procedures.

D. Hayward Award (see Exhibit 7) – Tabled.

REPORTS

President (Versaci)

I attended SSEC on November 13 and SPC on November 17 (see reports below). A group of us—me, Hossna Sadat Ahadi, Kelly Falcone, Jack Kahn, and Julie Lanthier-Bandy met on Friday, November 20 to discuss applying (as an institution) for the Association of California Community College Administrators (ACCCA) Award for Progress in Diversity. The application consists of four questions and supporting documentation, and the deadline is December 15. The group discussed the questions, brainstormed responses, and looked at the notes/narratives already prepared by Hossna. We will continue to work on the application, which will be ready by the deadline. Information on this award is available here: <https://www.accca.org/i4a/pages/index.cfm?pageid=3300>

SSEC (Versaci)

This Council met on Friday, November 13. The Council received an update from Cindy Anfinson, who is the Chair of the AB705 Subcommittee, and she presented the work that they have been doing. She also clarified the difference between her subcommittee and the AB705 Workgroup, which is focused more on the logistics of AB705 implementation, whereas the AB705 Subcommittee is focused on pedagogy and other teaching-related issues. The Council also discussed the possibility of meeting monthly (as opposed to semi-monthly) as well as clarifying specific tasks moving forward and into the Council's upcoming iteration under the new governance structure (where it will be combined with IPC and SSPC).

SPC (Versaci)

SPC met on Tuesday, November 17. Some highlights:

- Lawrence Lawson and Mireya Gutierrez-Aguero gave an update and highlighted some changes to the proposed Governance Structure Handbook. These changes were made in response to discussions across campus in various shared governance committees and councils. Here is the text of an email sent campus wide by Mireya, along with important links, a request for feedback, and directions for doing so:
- As you may be aware, the Governance Task Force (GTF) members have engaged in meaningful and productive dialogue around equitizing our governance structure. Recently, GTF made four changes to Governance Structure Handbook, and we need your feedback. Please take a moment to visit the following link [Governance Structure Handbook Revision Website](#). (It's hosted on the TERB website, but you can ignore the TERB information on the sides of the site). The website provides the following:
 - Describes the four major changes made in response to SPC feedback
 - [Governance Structure Handbook](#)
 - [Updated Council Flowchart](#)
 - [Feedback Form](#)
 - Implementation Timeline

We would greatly appreciate your feedback on the recommended changes, and we are keeping the feedback form open until Wednesday, November 25th, 2020 at p.m. Thank you for your time.

- The timeline for implementing the new governance structure was also discussed. Various conversations across campus (including my and Jenny's meeting with Jack on 11/13) expressed concern about trying to have this in place at the beginning of spring 2021. In light of these concerns, the official launch is being pushed to Fall 2021, and during the spring, the new Councils will co-exist as "shadow councils" so that groups may ease into the transition and—more importantly—identify the work that needs to be done, the seats that need to be filled, and the operational committees that need to be formed (or continued).
- First reading was given to various policies and procedures. I raised concerns about AP3430 (Prohibition of Harassment) and its new language about "visual or written material" (see Information Item B on the Senate's 11/30 agenda). My concern is that this new language could very easily be applied to classroom materials (unlike the previous scope of harassment, which focused on behavior and actions) in ways that could impinge upon or chill academic freedom.

IPC Report (Versaci)

IPC does not meet again until Wednesday, 12/9. IPC review of the PRPs is scheduled to begin on Friday, 11/6.

SSPC (O'Brien) - No report. SSPC next meets on Wednesday, 12/9.

HRPC – No report.

FASPC (Antonecchia) – No report.

PFF (Laughlin)

The food distribution on 11/21 was a smashing success. The PFF and the Palomar College's Foundation each donated \$3,000 and along with an additional \$4,000 from SDGE so we were able to buy 500 turkeys to distribute to our community. There were 350 cars waiting in the parking lot by 7:30. It is sad to see how many families in our community need help this Thanksgiving, but it is wonderful to see the Palomar community come out in force to help!

There will be a toy drive in lot 1 on December 4th. Please bring an unwrapped, factory packaged toy to lot 1 between 7 am- 2 pm. Please no stuffed animals or toy weapons. The PFF is donating \$5 per toy donated to the SICLCs efforts to bring holiday cheer to needy union families. Facilities will place a NEW (never used) 6 ft. bin in Lot 1 for the collection of unwrapped new toys in manufacturer's packaging. For sake of visualization, the bin is one that you might see in a hotel and is often used to transport laundry.

Distance Education (Hiro)

- There are currently 720 faculty certified to teach online and about 190 who still need to be certified to teach in spring. The deadline to get paid is Dec. 1. The details of the MOU are on the PD website. The deadline to get certified by the DE Coordinator is Dec. 18.
- CVC Consortium - The ATRC started the process of making us a Home College and the DE Coordinator is beginning work on the application to be a Teaching College.
- The Committee discussed the issue of faculty using publisher course packs and not connecting them to the Canvas course. That causes problems for authentication of the roster, student confusion, and goes against AP 4105.
- The DE Committee approved a plan to support all faculty using course packs:
 - o Require linking Course Pack through Canvas if full or partial integration is not implemented
 - o Encourage full Canvas Integration
 - o DE Committee should disseminate info on the advantages of Canvas integration and offer PD for instructors to implement full or partial integration
- Proctorio - The DE Committee heard a student complaint about the proctoring program as well as feedback from schools who are banning it because of accessibility and privacy concerns. The committee will continue to study this issue.
- Zoom transfers - The DE Coordinator will send out a second email reminding faculty that on Dec. 20, Palomar will take over managing Zoom accounts. It will make using Zoom easier for faculty users. We had two faculty volunteers test out the new Zoom over the last few weeks to work on the problems.

- Here is what faculty need to know about the Zoom transfer:
 - o Faculty must resist scheduling Zoom meetings after Dec. 20 because the Zoom URLs will look different. Here is an example:
 - o BEFORE: <https://cccconfer.zoom.us/my/nmanea?pwd=MWt0aEQrmdNFc1ZJeGIZdURUQit2QT09>
 - o AFTER: <https://palomar-edu.zoom.us/my/nmanea?pwd=MWt0aEQrmdNFc1ZJeGIZdURUQit2QT09>
 - o Since the URLs are different, all faculty will have to update the links for their recurring meetings in the spring semester.
 - o If faculty use Zoom Reports to look at student participation or to take attendance, they must download those reports before Dec. 20. Zoom reports will disappear on Dec. 20. [Here](#) is how to do it.
 - o Faculty testers were able to keep their old Zoom recordings, but the links changed and caused some problems. ATRC and Zoom are working on this. Zoom is not meant to be a video storage. If you are going to be using a Zoom recording in the future, download them now.
 - o Faculty will log in to Zoom on the Single Sign On page.

Guided Pathways (Nelson) No report.

Policies and Procedures (Lawson)

The committee met on Friday, December 4. Please review the 1st/2nd read items and let me know if you have any comments that you'd like me to bring to P&P.

Budget (Ferro) - The Budget Committee next meets on Tuesday, December 8.

TERB (Lawson) - No report. TERB next meets on Monday, November 30 to discuss various issues.

Professional Development (Falcone) No report.

Sabbatical Leave (Lawson) - The committee met to review and approve sabbatical leave applications.

Faculty Service Areas (Mudgett) No report.

ASG (Mouawad)

- ASG and Faculty Senate successfully launched an end of semester survey. Data is presently being compiled and will be distributed as soon as the information is compiled.
- ASG will be featured at the PTK Antiracist national meeting to speak about the importance of shared governance participation by students.
- We are revamping our website and increasing social media outreach.
- ASG officially voted to support the CVC for Palomar, to become a home college and teaching college.
- ASG President Remy Arnold will be resigning at the end of the fall semester. ASG wishes Remy the best, and we are thankful for all her work and effort. Vice President Kateri Mouawad will be filling the vacancy, with more details to follow on who will fill the soon-to-be vacant Vice President position.
- ASG is discussing compensation, and what which positions that would apply to.

ADJOURNMENT: The meeting was adjourned at 3:59 PM.

Respectfully submitted,

Margaret Faulkner

Molly Faulkner, Secretary