



INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL Minutes

September 14, 2005 @ 3:45 p.m.

Members Present: Mark Bealo, Norma Bean, Richard Borden, Katheryn Garlow, Terry Gray, Karen Huffman, Terry Humphrey, Chantal Maher, Becky McClusky, Wilma Owens, Tina Riley, Teri Safranek, Pat Schwerdtfeger, Sara Thompson, Mark Vernoy

Members Absent: David Boyd, Berta Cuaron

Recorder: Wendy Antes

I. Introductions

All members in attendance performed introductions at the joint IPC/SSPC meeting.

II. Approval of Minutes (April 27, 2005 & May 11, 2005)

The minutes for the April 27, 2005 minutes were approved with five abstentions
The minutes for the May 11, 2005 minutes were approved with five abstentions.

III. Roll of IPC

In reviewing the IPC role, it was discovered that title changes had not been incorporated into this document. These items will be noted and sent to the President's secretary.

IV. Calendar

All dates were discussed separately. Joint meetings with SSPC should be added to the calendar, as necessary, starting with September 28.

V. Goals for 2005-2006

Postponed to a later meeting.

VI. Other

Regarding the joint IPC/SSPC meeting (prior to this meeting), there were concerns voiced by IPC members that visitors to that meeting may have voted on IPC/SSPC Agenda Item I. A recommendation was made that required any voting for the joint meetings to be done via role call, eliminating the concern for visitor/guest voting.

Additional discussion took place about the process of setting faculty hiring priorities. Concern was voiced about the imbalance of voting members for each council. The following points were noted:

- What should the role of SSPC be in determining faculty priorities? Isn't that the job of IPC?
- SSPC represents counselors and athletics. They need to be at the table.
- SSPC is a larger council (more votes) representing a much smaller proportion of total faculty than IPC. How can joint decisions be equitable?
- Should every member of IPC get the original "Rationale" forms submitted by all the departments rather than summarized priority lists from the deans?
- Should there be a 'point' system used for determining priorities as is often used in the hiring process? So many points for department losses

INSTRUCTIONAL PLANNING COUNCIL

September 14, 2005

Page 2

such as retirements; so many points for fulltime/part-time ratio; points for qualitative arguments such as diversity, safety, etc.

VII. Adjournment

Meeting was adjourned at 4:10 p.m.

Next meeting: September 28, 2005, 2:30-4:00.