



Campus Police Committee <i>Minutes</i>
--

MEETING TYPE:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	Staff	Date: September 17, 2009
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Product/Project	Starting Time: 3:30 p.m.
	<input type="checkbox"/>	Special	Ending Time: 4:30 p.m.
			Place: SSC 35

CHAIR: Tony Cruz **MEMBERS:** Dryden, Ordille, Emerick, Titus, Emerick, Lesyna, SanAgustin, So'oto (Lt. designee)

Member Absent: ASG Reps Campbell & Martinez, Cummins, Medel, Barrett, Lambert

Guests: none

RECORDER: Brian Engleman
[Order of Agenda Items](#)

- I. The meeting was called to order by Tony Cruz at 3:37 p.m.**
- II. Approval of Minutes-March 19, 2009** MSC: SanAgustin/Lesyne (9-0)
- III. Items for Discussion/Information/Action – 3rd Reading**
 - a. 24/7 Parking Enforcement (SanAgustin)**

Extensive discussion was held regarding eliminating the grace period for parking citations in campus parking lots at the beginning of each semester. Arguments in favor of eliminating the grace period included improved revenue from parking permits and citations, encouraging responsible behavior on the part of the students, standardization with area institutions of higher ed. (none of which exercise a grace period for parking enforcement), a reduction in complaints stemming from expectations of non-enforcement of permit requirements, and support from the ASG. Arguments against the measure centered on the potential backlash from students who are unaware of the new enforcement policy. To mitigate this backlash the committee decided to include an aggressive education campaign as a part of the recommended action, to promote awareness that the grace period would no longer be in effect. A motion was carried to recommend to SSSC the elimination of the parking enforcement grace period beginning in the Fall 2010 semester. MSC: SanAgustin/Ordille (9-0).

- IV. Items for Discussion – 2nd Reading**
 - a. Revenue Generation Initiatives (SanAgustin)**

A handout was distributed to members, highlighting some of the revenue generation initiatives discussed at the meeting on March 19. In addition to the items included on the handout, members felt that adding reasonable charges for parking and security costs during planned (public) events should be included. Other initiatives included: increasing citation fines by \$5 to account for the \$5 increase in surcharges imposed by the state on 1/1/09; creating an "intersession" permit (for \$10), and enforcing parking regulations between semesters; imposing a fee of \$10 to correct "fix-it tickets"; and charging for visitor

permits. Items which had previously been rejected, charging for copies of police reports and developing a contract with a tow company that provides for a fee paid to Palomar for tows implemented by Campus police, were revisited by the committee and it was determined that these issues should be considered again. It was also suggested by several committee members that the college reassess its position regarding providing a percentage of funding for Campus Police from the general fund. Mary and Brian stated that they would revise the handout in consideration of many of the points brought up by the committee, and to include the additional items discussed, and that the issue would be brought for further discussion and/or action at the next committee meeting.

V. Items for Discussion – 1st Reading

a. Car Damage Procedures (ASG rep)

As this item was old business brought to the committee by past representatives from the ASG, the committee determined that the discussion should be dismissed until the new ASG can determine whether the issue was a concern to them. No further action was recommended relating to the car damage procedures.

b. Change to Committee Structure (Cruz)

Chief Cruz presented a recommendation to change the structure of the Campus Police Committee, essentially changing the status of the Police Department's representatives: 1) eliminating the Chief of Police as the Chairperson for the committee; and 2) changing the Chief of Police and Lieutenant (or designee) to an advisory role vs. voting members. He felt that this change would be beneficial in limiting the potential for conflicts of interest, and that it would be much more effective within the existing governance structure. Also, he shared about the functions of Citizens' Oversight Committees, which he felt are very valuable for police departments in many cities.

VI. Other

Meeting was adjourned at: 5:05 p.m.
Next Meeting: October 15, 2009