EXHIBIT N-6

DSA-APPROVED INSPECTION SERVICES SELECTION FOR PROPOSITION M BOND, SERIES "A" & STATE CAPITAL OUTLAY CONSTRUCTION PROJECTS

OVERVIEW

On November 7, 2006, the District was successful in the passage of the Proposition M Bond to fund the construction and/or reconstruction of the District's aging facilities. In order to start the construction process the District sent out a "Request for Qualifications" (RFQ) for DSA-Approved Inspection Services firms that would like to be considered in the construction process. The Contracts Office and the Facilities Department attempted to make the process "all-inclusive" for any firms interested in submitting an RFQ for consideration. We think that we were quite successful in this endeavor since we received 19 RFQ's from a very diverse pool of applicants.

DISCUSSION

The selection process, for the DSA-Approved Inspection Firms, was as followed:

The District's Facilities Review Committee (FRC) was assigned the task of selecting all of the various teams and groups that will be required for the construction of the projects approved in the Proposition M Bond. Representative members of the FRC were asked if they wanted to serve on the selection process for DSA-Approved Inspection Services and the following people volunteered to represent their groups:

- Dr. Mark Vernoy – Executive Administration
- Karen Mifflin – Faculty
- Becky McCluskey – CCE/AFT
- Chris Miller – CAST
- Kelley Hudson-MacIsaac – AA Group
- Mike Ellis – Facilities

The Facilities Department worked with the Contracts Office to prepare the formal "Request for Qualifications" (RFQ) and this was distributed through a competitive bid process per legal requirements. Individual firms were also contacted in order to get a highly diverse group of candidates for the selection process. The Contracts Office handled all of the legal aspects for the competitive bid process and then forwarded the information to the DSA Inspection Services Selection Group. There were 19 firms that submitted an RFQ package for consideration. Attached is a spreadsheet that shows all of the firms that submitted an RFQ and those that were selected to go forward in the process. The Selection Group screened and rated all 19 RFQ's and selected 7 firms for interviews. The interviews were held on February 26 and 27, 2008 and the following 3 firms were selected. Reference checks were performed on all of the firms; all reference checks were highly positive.

Consulting & Inspection Services, San Marcos, CA
MNL Inspection Services, Valley Center, CA
Universal Laboratories, Inc., Orange, CA

FINANCIAL IMPLICATIONS

All of the funding required, to support the projects shall be paid from Prop M funds or State Capital Outlay funds, depending on the project.

RECOMMENDATION

It is recommended that the Governing Board approve the above referenced Inspection Service firms as being pre-qualified for any of the Proposition M Bond construction projects in the first bond issue or State Capital Outlay projects.

It is further recommended that the Governing Board authorizes the administration to proceed with the contract negotiations for the approved DSA Inspection Service firms for the construction projects and the assignment of projects to the best qualified firm for each specific project.

Prop M Bond -DSA-Approved Inspection Services

February-08

#	Firms Submitting RFQ's	Firms Interviewed	Selected Finalists
1	Alliance Engineering of CA, Inc.	yes	
2	Blue Coast Consulting LLC		no
3	Charles Griffin Inspection Svcs.		no
4	Construction Inspectors LLC		no
5	Consulting & Inspection Services	yes	
6	CQAG, LLC/AGS, Inc.		no
7	DSA Inspections, Inc.	no	
8	J. L. Parks		no
9	Johnston Inspections	yes	
10	Joyce Inspections & Testing, Inc.	no	
11	Martin H. Veillon		no
12	MNL Inspection Service	yes	
13	O'Neal Construction Inspections		no
14	Professional Services industries		no
15	Ratcliff Inspections		no
16	Sandy Pringle Associates	yes	
17	STATE Consulting & Inspection Svcs.	yes	
18	Stephen Payte DSA Inspections, Inc.	no	
19	Universal Laboratories Inc.	yes	